

ON 10/30/14  
 Julie A. Richards, Clerk  
 US District Court  
 Eastern District of NC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 for the  
 Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America )  
 v. )  
 ERNEST DAILEY ) Case No. 7:14-CR-72-F-1  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
 Defendant )

**DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL**

After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial.

**Part I—Findings of Fact**

- (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of    a federal offense    a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
  - a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
  - an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
  - an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_ .\*
- a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
- any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
  - a minor victim
  - the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
  - a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
- (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
- (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the    date of conviction    the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
- (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

**Alternative Findings (A)**

- (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
  - for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_ .
  - under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
for the  
**Eastern District of North Carolina**

- (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

**Alternative Findings (B)**

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  
 (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

**Part II—Statement of the Reasons for Detention**

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by

- clear and convincing evidence that    a preponderance of the evidence that
- Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
- For the reasons indicated below, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The nature of the charges<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The apparent strength of the government's case<br><input type="checkbox"/> The indication of substance abuse<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The defendant's criminal history<br><input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> The lack of stable employment<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The lack of a suitable custodian<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The fact that the charges arose while on state probation<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The history of probation revocations |
|--|--|

**Part III—Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: 10/30/2014



Judge's Signature

ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ

Name and Title

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).